



The [DiMe Research Committee](#) is a member-led initiative that stands up working groups to pursue research questions proposed directly by the DiMe member community.

The Problem

- » A clear process for reporting adherence to digital solutions in publications did not exist, limiting the value of published data.
- » We wanted to connect back to EVIDENCE to showcase that a similar model might also be applied to reporting digital studies of adherence.

The Resources

- » We reviewed the [EVIDENCE checklist](#) to understand what the authors had recommended in terms of reporting adherence to BioMeTs and ensure that our recommendations were consistent with EVIDENCE.
- » Alternatively, if we noted substantial deviations between EVIDENCE and our recommendations, we could provide a rationale.
- » We adopted this same model as we developed recommendations for reporting adherence, which strengthened our resulting manuscript.

The Impact

- ✓ The checklist provided a model for developing recommendations for reporting adherence.
- ✓ We were able to ensure our guidance was consistent across other related research efforts.

The EVIDENCE checklist provides a clear process for authors to follow throughout study design, data collection, analysis, and reporting.

— **Jessie Bakker, MS PhD,**
Executive Vice President of Medical Affairs,
Signifier Medical Technologies Ltd